UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,321	04/14/2005	Hiroyuki Ebinuma	270475US0PCT	7005
	7590 03/09/200 AK MCCLELLAND	7 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST	REET	MI, QIUWEN		UWEN
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1655		
				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	03/09/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	······································			
Office Action Occasion	10/531,321	EBINUMA, HIROYUKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Qiuwen Mi	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MAILING DAWNING STATE OF THE MAILING STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communicatio ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers, prosecution as to the merits i	S			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOITI CONSIDERATION.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		hAb a Caracina				
,,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	'd\			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		u).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	•				
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		:				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
		•				
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/531,321

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections –35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DAIICHIKAKAGU YAKUHIN KK (JP 2001057897) in view of Jones (US 5,741,491).

DAIICHIKAKAGU YAKUHIN KK teaches the production of fructosyl-valine for measuring hemoglobin A1c in diabetes mellitus patient. The method comprises coupling N-terminal valine residue of protein or peptide to fructose to form fructosyl-valine, which is then removed (defructosylating) from the peptide or protein by enzymatic treatment, using serine carboxypeptidase. The method also teaches measuring hemoglobin A1c and glucose (reaction product) level in diabetes mellitus patient (see the Abstract).

DAIICHIKAKAGU YAKUHIN KK does not teach the enzyme is extracted from a plant.

Jones teaches treating diabetes with *Heracleum lanatum* (Umbrelliae family) (col 1, lines 32-38) and measuring HbA1c (the same as hemoglobin A1c, see the instant specification, page 1, 2nd paragraph) and glucose (reaction product) level afterwards (cols 7&8, Tables 7&8). As

Art Unit: 1655

evidenced by Edens et al (US 6,372,282), serine carboxypeptidases occur in fungi and higher plants (col 3, lines 1-5). Therefore, family Umbrelliae contains enzyme serine carboxypeptidases.

Therefore, it would have been *prima facie* obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use *Heracleum lanatum* (Umbrelliae family) in Jones to defructosylate the fructosylated peptide in DAIICHIKAKAGU YAKUHIN KK since the treatment of extract of *Heracleum lanatum* (Umbrelliae family) in Jones achieved dramatic improvement in glucose control as evidenced by maintaining a lower blood glucose level, a reduced dose of medication (col 4, lines 47-52), an improved blood glucose the HbA1c, and an improved glycemic status (col 6, lines 57-67), one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modifications.

Thus, the invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DAIICHIKAKAGU YAKUHIN KK (JP 2001057897) in view of Karl et al (EP 598329).

DAIICHIKAKAGU YAKUHIN KK teaches the production of fructosyl-valine for measuring hemoglobin A1c in diabetes mellitus patient. The method comprises coupling N-terminal valine residue of protein or peptide to fructose to form fructosyl-valine, which is then removed (defructosylating) from the peptide or protein by enzymatic treatment, using serine

Application/Control Number: 10/531,321 Page 4

Art Unit: 1655

carboxypeptidase. The method also teaches measuring hemoglobin A1c and glucose (reaction

product) level in diabetes mellitus patient (see the Abstract).

DAIICHIKAKAGU YAKUHIN KK does not teach the fructosylated peptide with an

amino acid sequence represented by any of SEQ ID No: 1-5.

Karl et al teach an amino acid sequence that 100% matches with SEQ ID NO. 1. Karl et

al also teach that the glycosylated (including fructosylated, see

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Glycosylated) oligopeptide recognizes glycosylated hemoglobin

HbA1c, and therefore provides an indication of blood glucose levels in diabetes mellitus.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to use the fructosylated peptide with SEQ ID NO. 1. in Karl et

al since the glycosylated oligopeptide in Karl et al allows simultaneous detection of three forms

of hemoglobin and therefore serves as an indication of long term blood glucose levels for

monitoring diabetes, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the

modifications.

Thus, the invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the references, especially in the

absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1655

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qiuwen Mi whose telephone number is 571-272-5984. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry Mckelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MICHAEL MELLER PRIMARY EXAMINER